

# Poisson boundary of the discrete quantum group $\widehat{A_u(F)}$

BY STEFAAN VAES AND NIKOLAS VANDER VENNET

## Abstract

We identify the Poisson boundary of the dual of the universal compact quantum group  $A_u(F)$  with a measurable field of ITPFI factors.

## 1 Introduction and statement of main result

Poisson boundaries of discrete quantum groups were introduced by Izumi [5] in his study of infinite tensor product actions of  $SU_q(2)$ . Izumi was able to identify the Poisson boundary of the dual of  $SU_q(2)$  with the quantum homogeneous space  $L^\infty(SU_q(2)/S^1)$ , called the Podleś sphere. The generalization to  $SU_q(n)$  was established by Izumi, Neshveyev and Tuset [6], yielding  $L^\infty(SU_q(n)/S^{n-1})$  as the Poisson boundary. A more systematic approach was given by Tomatsu [10] who proved the following very general result: if  $\mathbb{G}$  is a compact quantum group with commutative fusion rules and amenable dual  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ , the Poisson boundary of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  can be identified with the quantum homogeneous space  $L^\infty(\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K})$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is the maximal closed quantum subgroup of Kac type inside  $\mathbb{G}$ . Tomatsu's result provides the Poisson boundary for the duals of all  $q$ -deformations of classical compact groups.

All examples discussed in the previous paragraph concern amenable discrete quantum groups. In [12], we identified the Poisson boundary for the (non-amenable) dual of the compact quantum group  $A_o(F)$  with a higher dimensional Podleś sphere. Although the dual of  $A_o(F)$  is non-amenable, the representation category of  $A_o(F)$  is monoidally equivalent with the representation category of  $SU_q(2)$  for the appropriate value of  $q$ . The second author and De Rijdt provided in [4] a general result explaining the behavior of the Poisson boundary under the passage to monoidally equivalent quantum groups. In particular, a combination of the results of [4] and [5] give a more conceptual approach to our identification in [12].

The quantum random walks studied on a discrete quantum group  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  have a semi-classical counterpart, being a Markov chain on the (countable) set  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  of irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{G}$  (modulo unitary equivalence). All the examples above, share the feature that the semi-classical random walk on  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  has trivial Poisson boundary.

In this paper, we identify the Poisson boundary for the dual of  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$ . In that case,  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  can be identified with the Cayley tree of the monoid  $\mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$  and, by results of [9], has a non-trivial Poisson boundary: the end compactification of the tree with the appropriate harmonic measure. Before discussing in more detail our main result, we introduce some terminology and notations. For a more complete introduction to Poisson boundaries of discrete quantum groups, we refer to [15, Chapter 4].

Compact quantum groups were originally introduced by Woronowicz in [17] and their definition finally took the following form.

---

Department of Mathematics; K.U.Leuven; Celestijnenlaan 200B; B-3001 Leuven (Belgium)

E-mail: stefaan.vaes@wis.kuleuven.be and nikolas.vandervennet@wis.kuleuven.be

Research partially supported by ERC Starting Grant VNALG-200749 and Research Programme G.0231.07 of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO)

**Definition 1.1** (Woronowicz [18, Definition 1.1]). A *compact quantum group*  $\mathbb{G}$  is a pair consisting of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\mathbb{G})$  and a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ , called *comultiplication*, satisfying the following two conditions.

- *Co-associativity*:  $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ .
- $\text{span } \Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))$  and  $\text{span } \Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes 1)$  are dense in  $C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ .

In the above definition, the symbol  $\otimes$  denotes the minimal (i.e. spatial) tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a compact quantum group. By [18, Theorem 1.3], there is a unique state  $h$  on  $C(\mathbb{G})$  satisfying  $(\text{id} \otimes h)\Delta(a) = h(a)1 = (h \otimes \text{id})\Delta(a)$  for all  $a \in C(\mathbb{G})$ . We call  $h$  the *Haar state* of  $\mathbb{G}$ .

A *unitary representation* of  $\mathbb{G}$  on the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  is a unitary operator  $U \in \mathcal{L}(H) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$  satisfying  $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(U) = U_{12}U_{13}$ . Given unitary representations  $U_1, U_2$  on  $H_1, H_2$ , we put

$$\text{Mor}(U_2, U_1) := \{S \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2) \mid (S \otimes 1)U_1 = U_2(S \otimes 1)\}.$$

Let  $U$  be a unitary representation of  $\mathbb{G}$  on the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . The elements  $(\xi^* \otimes 1)U(\eta \otimes 1) \in C(\mathbb{G})$  are called the coefficients of  $U$ . The linear span of all coefficients of all finite dimensional unitary representations of  $\mathbb{G}$  forms a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C(\mathbb{G})$  (see [18, Theorem 1.2]). We call  $U$  *irreducible* if  $\text{Mor}(U, U) = \mathbb{C}1$ . We call  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  *unitarily equivalent* if  $\text{Mor}(U_2, U_1)$  contains a unitary operator.

Let  $U$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathbb{G}$  on the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . By [18, Proposition 5.2], there exists an anti-linear invertible map  $j : H \rightarrow \overline{H}$  such that the operator  $U^c \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{H}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$  defined by the formula  $(j(\xi)^* \otimes 1)U^c(j(\eta) \otimes 1) = (\eta^* \otimes 1)U^*(\xi \otimes 1)$  is unitary. One calls  $U^c$  the *contragredient* of  $U$ . Since  $U$  is irreducible, the map  $j$  is uniquely determined up to multiplication by a non-zero scalar. We normalize in such a way that  $Q := j^*j$  satisfies  $\text{Tr}(Q) = \text{Tr}(Q^{-1})$ . Then,  $j$  is determined up to multiplication by  $\lambda \in S^1$  and  $Q$  is uniquely determined. We call  $\text{Tr}(Q)$  the *quantum dimension* of  $U$  and denote it by  $\dim_q(U)$ . Note that  $\dim_q(U) \geq \dim(H)$  with equality holding iff  $Q = 1$ .

The *tensor product*  $U \circledast V$  of two unitary representations is defined as  $U_{13}V_{23}$ .

Given a compact quantum group  $\mathbb{G}$ , we denote by  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  the set of irreducible unitary representations of  $\mathbb{G}$  modulo unitary conjugacy. For every  $x \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ , we choose a representative  $U^x$  on the Hilbert space  $H_x$ . We denote by  $Q_x \in \mathcal{L}(H_x)$  the associated positive invertible operator and define the state  $\psi_x$  on  $\mathcal{L}(H_x)$  by the formula

$$\psi_x(A) := \frac{\text{Tr}(Q_x A)}{\text{Tr}(Q_x)}.$$

The dual, *discrete quantum group*  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  is defined as the  $\ell^\infty$ -direct sum of matrix algebras

$$\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) := \prod_{x \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})} \mathcal{L}(H_x).$$

We denote by  $p_x, x \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ , the minimal central projections in  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ . Denote by  $\epsilon \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  the trivial representation and by  $\widehat{\epsilon} : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the co-unit given by  $ap_\epsilon = \widehat{\epsilon}(a)p_\epsilon$ .

Whenever  $x, y, z \in I$ , we use the short-hand notation  $\text{Mor}(x \otimes y, z) := \text{Mor}(U^x \circledast U^y, U^z)$  and we write  $z \subset x \otimes y$  if  $\text{Mor}(x \otimes y, z) \neq \{0\}$ .

The von Neumann algebra  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  carries a comultiplication  $\hat{\Delta} : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ , uniquely characterized by the formula

$$\hat{\Delta}(a)(p_x \otimes p_y)S = Sap_z \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G}) \text{ and } S \in \text{Mor}(x \otimes y, z) .$$

Denote by  $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  the weak closure of  $C(\mathbb{G})$  in the GNS representation of the Haar state  $h$ . One defines the unitary  $\mathbb{V} \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  by the formula

$$\mathbb{V} := \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})} U^x .$$

The unitary  $\mathbb{V}$  implements the duality between  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ , in the sense that it satisfies

$$(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \text{id})(\mathbb{V}) = \mathbb{V}_{13}\mathbb{V}_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathbb{V}) = \mathbb{V}_{12}\mathbb{V}_{13} .$$

Discrete quantum groups can also be defined intrinsically, see [13].

Whenever  $\omega \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})_*$  is a normal state, we consider the Markov operator

$$P_\omega : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) : P_\omega(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \omega)\hat{\Delta}(a) .$$

By [7, Proposition 2.1], the Markov operator  $P_\omega$  leaves globally invariant the center  $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$  of  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  if and only if

$$\omega = \psi_\mu := \sum_{x \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})} \mu(x)\psi_x \quad \text{where } \mu \text{ is a probability measure on } \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G}) .$$

We only consider states  $\omega$  of the form  $\psi_\mu$  and denote by  $P_\mu$  the corresponding Markov operator. Note that we can define a convolution product on the probability measures on  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  by the formula

$$P_{\mu * \eta} = P_\mu \circ P_\eta .$$

Considering the restriction of  $P_\mu$  to  $\ell^\infty(\text{Irred}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) = \mathcal{Z}(\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$ , every probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  defines a Markov chain on the countable set  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  with  $n$ -step transition probabilities given by

$$p_x p_n(x, y) = p_x P_\mu^n(p_y) .$$

The probability measure  $\mu$  is called *generating* if for every  $x, y \in \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $p_n(x, y) > 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a compact quantum group and  $\mu$  a generating probability measure on  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ . The *Poisson boundary* of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  with respect to  $\mu$  is defined as the space of  $P_\mu$ -harmonic elements in  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ .

$$H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) := \{a \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \mid P_\mu(a) = a\} .$$

The weakly closed vector subspace  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  of  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  is turned into a von Neumann algebra using the product (cf. [5, Theorem 3.6])

$$a \cdot b := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_\mu^n(ab)$$

and where the sequence at the right hand side is strongly\* convergent.

- The restriction of  $\hat{\epsilon}$  to  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  is a faithful normal state on  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .

- The restriction of  $\hat{\Delta}$  to  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  defines a left action

$$\alpha_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} : H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) : a \mapsto \hat{\Delta}(a)$$

of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .

- The restriction of the adjoint action to  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  defines an action

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} : H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \rightarrow H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G}) : a \mapsto \mathbb{V}(a \otimes 1)\mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) := H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$  the space of bounded  $P_\mu$ -harmonic functions on  $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ . Defining the conditional expectation

$$\mathcal{E} : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\text{Irred}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) : \mathcal{E}(a)p_x = \psi_x(a)p_x,$$

we observe that  $\mathcal{E}$  also provides a faithful conditional expectation of  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  onto the von Neumann subalgebra  $H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .

We now turn to the concrete family of compact quantum groups studied in this paper and introduced by Van Daele and Wang in [14]. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and let  $F \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . One defines the compact quantum group  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$  such that  $C(\mathbb{G})$  is the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by the entries of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $U$  satisfying the relations

$$U \quad \text{and} \quad F\overline{U}F^{-1} \quad \text{are unitary, with} \quad (\overline{U})_{ij} = (U_{ij})^*$$

and such that  $\Delta(U_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n U_{ik} \otimes U_{kj}$ . By definition,  $U$  is an  $n$ -dimensional unitary representation of  $A_u(F)$ , called the *fundamental representation*.

Fix  $F \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and put  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$ . For reasons to become clear later, we assume that  $F$  is not a scalar multiple of a unitary  $2 \times 2$  matrix.

By [2, Théorème 1], the irreducible unitary representations of  $\mathbb{G}$  can be labeled by the elements of the free monoid  $I := \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$  generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . We represent the elements of  $I$  as words in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The empty word is denoted by  $\epsilon$  and corresponds to the trivial representation of  $\mathbb{G}$ , while  $\alpha$  corresponds to the fundamental representation and  $\beta$  to the contragredient of  $\alpha$ . We denote by  $x \mapsto \overline{x}$  the unique antimultiplicative and involutive map on  $I$  satisfying  $\overline{\alpha} = \beta$ . This involution corresponds to the contragredient on the level of representations. The fusion rules of  $\mathbb{G}$  are given by

$$x \otimes y \cong \bigoplus_{z \in I, x=x_0z, y=\overline{z}y_0} x_0 y_0.$$

So, if the last letter of  $x$  equals the first letter of  $y$ , the tensor product  $x \otimes y$  is irreducible and given by  $xy$ . We denote this as  $xy = x \otimes y$ .

Denote by  $\partial I$  the compact space of infinite words in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For  $x \in \partial I$ , the expression

$$x = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \tag{1}$$

means that the infinite word  $x$  is the concatenation of the finite words  $x_1 x_2 \cdots$  and that the last letter of  $x_n$  equals the first letter of  $x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . All elements  $x$  of  $\partial I$  can be decomposed as in (1), except the countable number of elements of the form  $x = y\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\cdots$  for some  $y \in I$ .

Below, we will only deal with non-atomic measures on  $\partial I$ , so that almost every point of  $\partial I$  has a decomposition as in (1). We denote by  $\partial_0 I$  the subset of  $\partial I$  consisting of the infinite words that have a decomposition of the form (1).

The following is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $F \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $F$  is not a scalar multiple of a unitary  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Write  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$  and suppose that  $\mu$  is a finitely supported, generating probability measure on  $I = \mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ . Denote by  $\partial I$  the compact space of infinite words in the letters  $\alpha, \beta$ . There exists*

- a non-atomic probability measure  $\nu_\epsilon$  on  $\partial I$ ,
- a measurable field  $M$  of ITPFI factors over  $(\partial I, \nu_\epsilon)$  with fibers

$$(M_x, \omega_x) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{L}(H_{x_k}), \psi_{x_k})$$

whenever  $x \in \partial_0 I$  is of the form  $x = x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes \cdots$ ,

- an action  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $M$  concretely given by (2) below,

such that, with  $\omega_\infty = \int^\oplus \omega_x \, d\nu_\epsilon(x)$ , the Poisson integral formula

$$\Theta_\mu : M \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) : \Theta_\mu(a) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega_\infty) \beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(a)$$

defines a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $M$  onto  $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ , intertwining the action  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  on  $M$  with the action  $\alpha_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  on  $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .

Moreover, defining the action  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}^x$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $M_x$  as the infinite tensor product of the inner actions  $a \mapsto U^{x_k}(a \otimes 1)(U^{x_k})^*$ , we obtain the action  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $M$ . The  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Theta_\mu$  intertwines  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  with  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$ .

The comultiplication  $\hat{\Delta} : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  can be uniquely cut down into completely positive maps  $\hat{\Delta}_{x \otimes y, z} : \mathcal{L}(H_z) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_x) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$  in such a way that

$$\hat{\Delta}(a)(p_x \otimes p_y) = \sum_{z \subset x \otimes y} \hat{\Delta}_{x \otimes y, z}(ap_z)$$

for all  $a \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ .

We denote by  $|x|$  the length of a word  $x \in I$ .

If now  $x, y \in I, z \in \partial I$  with  $yz = y \otimes z$  and  $|y| > |x|$ , we define for all  $s \subset x \otimes y$ ,

$$\hat{\Delta}_{x \otimes y, sz} : M_{sz} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_x) \otimes M_{yz}$$

by composing  $\hat{\Delta}_{x \otimes y, s} \otimes \mathrm{id}$  with the identifications  $M_{sz} \cong \mathcal{L}(H_s) \otimes M_z$  and  $M_{yz} \cong \mathcal{L}(H_y) \otimes M_z$ . The action  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} : M \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} M$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $M$  is now given by

$$\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}(a)_{x, yz} = \sum_{s \subset x \otimes y} \hat{\Delta}_{x \otimes y, sz}(ap_{sz}) \tag{2}$$

whenever  $a \in M, x, y \in I, z \in \partial I, |y| > |x|$  and  $yz = y \otimes z$ . Note that we identified  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} M$  with a measurable field over  $I \times \partial I$  with fiber in  $(x, z)$  given by  $\mathcal{L}(H_x) \otimes M_z$ .

## Further notations and terminology

Fix  $F \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  and put  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$ . We identify  $\mathrm{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$  with  $I := \mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$ . We assume that  $F$  is not a multiple of a unitary  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Equivalently,  $\dim_q(\alpha) > 2$ . The first reason to do so, is that under this assumption, the random walk defined by any non-trivial probability measure  $\mu$  on  $I$  (i.e.  $\mu(\epsilon) < 1$ ), is automatically *transient*, which means that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(x, y) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ . This statement can be proven in the same was as [7, Theorem 2.6]. For the convenience of the reader, we give the argument. Denote by  $\dim_{\min}(y)$  the dimension of the carrier Hilbert space of  $y$ , when  $y$  is viewed as an irreducible representation of  $A_u(I_2)$ . Since  $F$  is not a multiple of a unitary  $2 \times 2$  matrix, it follows that  $\dim_q(y) > \dim_{\min}(y)$  for all  $y \in I \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ . Denote by  $\mathrm{mult}(z; y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n)$  the multiplicity of the irreducible representation  $z \in I$  in the tensor product of the irreducible representations  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ . Since the fusion rules of  $A_u(F)$  and  $A_u(I_2)$  are identical, it follows that

$$\mathrm{mult}(z; y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n) \leq \dim_{\min}(y_1) \cdots \dim_{\min}(y_n).$$

One then computes for all  $x, y \in I$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x, y) &= \sum_{z \subset \bar{x} \otimes y} \mu^{*n}(z) \frac{\dim_q(y)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q(z)} \\ &= \frac{\dim_q(y)}{\dim_q(x)} \sum_{z \subset \bar{x} \otimes y} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in I} \mathrm{mult}(z; y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n) \frac{\mu(y_1) \cdots \mu(y_n)}{\dim_q(y_1) \cdots \dim_q(y_n)} \\ &\leq \frac{\dim_q(y)}{\dim_q(x)} \dim(\bar{x} \otimes y) \rho^n \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = \sum_{y \in I} \mu(y) \frac{\dim_{\min}(y)}{\dim_q(y)}$ . Since  $\mu$  is non-trivial and  $F$  is not a multiple of a  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix, we have  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Transience of the random walk follows immediately.

An element  $x \in I$  is said to be *indecomposable* if  $x = y \otimes z$  implies  $y = \epsilon$  or  $z = \epsilon$ . Equivalently,  $x$  is an alternating product of the letters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

For every  $x \in I$ , we denote by  $\dim_q(x)$  the *quantum dimension* of the irreducible representation labeled by  $x$ . Since  $\dim_q(\alpha) > 2$ , take  $0 < q < 1$  such that  $\dim_q(\alpha) = \dim_q(\beta) = q + 1/q$ . An important part of the proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on the technical estimates provided by Lemma 6.1 and they require  $q < 1$ , i.e.  $\dim_q(\alpha) > 2$ .

Denote the  $q$ -factorials

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} = q^{n-1} + q^{n-3} + \cdots + q^{-n+3} + q^{-n+1}.$$

Writing  $x = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$  where the words  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are indecomposable, we have

$$\dim_q(x) = [|x_1| + 1]_q \cdots [|x_n| + 1]_q. \quad (3)$$

For later use, note that it follows that

$$\dim_q(xy) \geq q^{-|y|} \dim_q(x) \quad (4)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ .

Whenever  $x \in I \cup \partial I$ , we denote by  $[x]_n$  the word consisting of the first  $n$  letters of  $x$  and by  $[x]^n$  the word that arises by removing the first  $n$  letters from  $x$ . So, by definition,  $x = [x]_n[x]^n$ .

## 2 Poisson boundary of the classical random walk on $\text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$

Given a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $I := \text{Irred}(\mathbb{G})$ , the Markov operator  $P_\mu : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  preserves the center  $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) = \ell^\infty(I)$  and hence, defines an ordinary random walk on the countable set  $I$  with  $n$ -step transition probabilities

$$p_x p_n(x, y) = p_x P_\mu^n(p_y). \quad (5)$$

As shown above, this random walk is transient whenever  $\mu(\epsilon) < 1$ . Denote by  $H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  the commutative von Neumann algebra of bounded  $P_\mu$ -harmonic functions in  $\ell^\infty(I)$ , with product given by  $a \cdot b = \lim_n P_\mu^n(ab)$  and the sequence being strongly\*-convergent. Write  $p(x, y) = p_1(x, y)$ .

The set  $I$  becomes in a natural way a tree, the Cayley tree of the semi-group  $\mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$ . Whenever  $\mu$  is a generating probability measure with finite support, the following properties of the random walk on  $I$  can be checked easily.

- Uniform irreducibility: there exists an integer  $M$  such that, for any pair  $x, y \in I$  of neighboring edges of the tree, there exists an integer  $k \leq M$ , such that  $p_k(x, y) > 0$ .
- Bounded step-length: there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $p(x, y) > 0$  implies that  $d(x, y) \leq N$  where  $d(x, y)$  equals the length of the unique geodesic path from  $x$  to  $y$ .
- There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p(x, y) > 0$  implies that  $p(x, y) \geq \delta$ .

So, we can apply [9, Theorem 2] and identify the Poisson boundary of the random walk on  $I$ , with the boundary  $\partial I$  of infinite words in  $\alpha, \beta$ , equipped with a probability measure in the following way.

**Theorem 2.1** (Picardello and Woess, [9, Theorem 2]). *Let  $\mu$  be a finitely supported generating measure on  $I = \text{Irred}(A_u(F))$ , where  $F$  is not a scalar multiple of a  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix. Consider the associated random walk on  $I$  with transition probabilities given by (5) and the compactification  $I \cup \partial I$  of  $I$ .*

- *The random walk converges almost surely to a point in  $\partial I$ .*
- *Denote, for every  $x \in I$ , by  $\nu_x$  the hitting probability measure on  $\partial I$ , where  $\nu_x(\mathcal{U})$  is defined as the probability that the random walk starting in  $x$  converges to a point in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, the formula*

$$\Upsilon(F)(x) = \int_{\partial I} F(z) d\nu_x(z) \quad (6)$$

*defines a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Upsilon : L^\infty(\partial I, \nu_\epsilon) \rightarrow H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .*

In fact, Theorem 2 in [9], identifies  $\partial I$  with the *Martin compactification* of the given random walk on  $I$ . It is a general fact (see [16, Theorem 24.10]), that a transient random walk converges almost surely to a point of the minimal Martin boundary and that the hitting probability measures provide a realization of the Poisson boundary through the Poisson integral formula (6), see [16, Theorem 24.12].

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the non-atomicity of the harmonic measures  $\nu_x$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For all  $x, y \in I$  and  $z \in \partial I$ , the sequence

$$\left( \frac{\dim_q(x[z]_n)}{\dim_q(y[z]_n)} \right)_n$$

converges. By a slight abuse of notation, we denote the limit by  $\dim_q(\frac{xz}{yz})$ . The map  $\partial I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ :  $z \mapsto \dim_q(\frac{xz}{yz})$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Fix  $x, y \in I$ . Whenever  $z \in \partial I$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote

$$f_n(z) = \frac{\dim_q(x[z]_n)}{\dim_q(y[z]_n)}.$$

If  $z \notin \{\alpha\beta\alpha\cdots, \beta\alpha\beta\cdots\}$ , write  $z = z_1 \otimes z_2$  for some  $z_1 \in I$ ,  $z_1 \neq \epsilon$  and some  $z_2 \in \partial I$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the neighborhood of  $z$  consisting of words of the form  $z_1 z' = z_1 \otimes z'$ . For all  $s \in \mathcal{U}$  and all  $n \geq |z_1|$ , we have

$$f_n(s) = \frac{\dim_q(xz_1)}{\dim_q(yz_1)}.$$

Hence, for all  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto f_n(s)$  is eventually constant and converges to a limit that is constant on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Also for  $z \in \{\alpha\beta\alpha\cdots, \beta\alpha\beta\cdots\}$ , the sequence  $f_n(z)$  is convergent. Take  $z = \alpha\beta\alpha\cdots$ . Write  $x = x_0 \otimes x_1$  where  $x_1$  is the longest possible (and maybe empty) indecomposable word ending with  $\beta$ . Write  $y = y_0 \otimes y_1$  similarly. It follows that

$$f_n(z) = \frac{\dim_q(x_0)}{\dim_q(y_0)} \frac{[n + |x_1| + 1]_q}{[n + |y_1| + 1]_q} \rightarrow \frac{\dim_q(x_0)}{\dim_q(y_0)} q^{|y_1| - |x_1|}.$$

The convergence of  $f_n(z)$  for  $z = \beta\alpha\beta\cdots$  is proven analogously.

Write  $f(z) = \lim_n f_n(z)$ . It remains to prove that  $f$  is continuous in  $z = \alpha\beta\alpha\cdots$  and in  $z = \beta\alpha\beta\cdots$ . In both cases, define for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_n$  of  $z$  consisting of all  $s \in \partial I$  with  $[s]_n = [z]_n$ . For every  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $s \neq z$ , there exists  $m \geq n$  such that  $f(s) = f_m(z)$ . The continuity of  $f$  in  $z$  follows.  $\square$

Whenever  $x, y \in I \cup \partial I$ , define  $(x|y) := \max\{n \mid [x]_n = [y]_n\}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For all  $x \in I$  and all  $w \in \partial I$ , we have

$$\nu_x(w) = \frac{1}{\dim_q(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{(x|w)} \dim_q \left( \frac{[w]_k [w]^k}{[x]^k [w]^k} \right) \nu_\epsilon(\overline{[x]^k} [w]^k).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1, our random walk converges almost surely to a point of  $\partial I$  and we denoted by  $\nu_x$  the hitting probability measure. So,  $(\psi_x \otimes \psi_{\mu^{*n}}) \hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \nu_x$  weakly\* in  $C(I \cup \partial I)^*$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{E} : \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(I)$  denotes the conditional expectation defined by  $\mathcal{E}(b)p_y = \psi_y(b)p_y$ . Whenever  $|z| > |x|$ , we have

$$\mathcal{E}((\psi_x \otimes \text{id}) \hat{\Delta}(p_z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{(x|z)} \frac{\dim_q(z)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q([x]^k [z]^k)} p_{\overline{[x]^k} [z]^k}.$$

Denote  $q_z = \sum_{s \in I} p_{zs}$  and observe that  $q_z \in C(I \cup \partial I)$ . It follows that for all  $|z| > |x|$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}((\psi_x \otimes \text{id})\hat{\Delta}(q_z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{(x|z)} f_k$$

where  $f_k \in \ell^\infty(I)$  is defined by  $f_k(y) = 0$  if  $y$  does not start with  $\overline{[x]^k}[z]^k$  and

$$f_k(\overline{[x]^k}[z]^k y) = \frac{1}{\dim_q(x)} \frac{\dim_q(zy)}{\dim_q(\overline{[x]^k}[z]^k y)}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have  $f_k \in C(I \cup \partial I)$ .

Defining  $\mathcal{U}_z$  as the subset of  $\partial I$  consisting of infinite words starting with  $z$ , it follows that, for  $|z| > |x|$

$$\nu_x(\mathcal{U}_z) = \sum_{k=0}^{(x|z)} \int_{\partial I} f_k(y) d\nu_\epsilon(y). \quad (7)$$

The lemma follows by letting  $z \rightarrow w$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The support of the harmonic measure  $\nu_\epsilon$  is the whole of  $\partial I$ . The harmonic measure  $\nu_\epsilon$  has no atoms in words ending with  $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\cdots$*

**Remark 2.5.** The same methods as in the proof of Proposition 2.4 given below, but involving more tedious computations, show in fact that  $\nu_\epsilon$  is non-atomic. To prove our main theorem, it is only crucial that  $\nu_\epsilon$  has no atoms in words ending with  $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\cdots$ . We believe that it should be possible to give a more conceptual proof of the non-atomicity of  $\nu_\epsilon$  and refer to [15, Proposition 8.3.10] for an ad hoc proof along the lines of the proof of Proposition 2.4.

*Proof of Proposition 2.4.* Because of Lemma 2.3 and the equality

$$\nu_\epsilon = \sum_{x \in I} \mu^{*k}(x) \nu_x$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ , we observe that if  $w$  is an atom for  $\nu_\epsilon$ , then all  $w'$  with the same tail as  $w$  are atoms for all  $\nu_x$ ,  $x \in I$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{U}_z \subset \partial I$  the open subset of  $\partial I$  consisting of infinite words that start with  $z$ . A similar argument, using (7), shows that if  $\nu_\epsilon(\mathcal{U}_z) = 0$ , then  $\nu_x(\mathcal{U}_y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , which is absurd because  $\nu_x$  is a probability measure. So, the support of  $\nu_\epsilon$  is the whole of  $\partial I$ .

So, we assume now that  $w := \alpha\beta\alpha\beta\cdots$  is an atom for  $\nu_\epsilon$  and derive a contradiction.

Denote by  $\delta_w$  the function on  $\partial I$  that is equal to 1 in  $w$  and 0 elsewhere. Using the  $*$ -isomorphism in Theorem 2.1, it follows that the bounded function

$$\xi \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) : \xi(x) := \nu_x(w) = \int_{\partial I} \delta_w d\nu_x$$

is harmonic.

We will prove that  $\xi$  attains its maximum and apply the maximum principle for irreducible random walks (see e.g. [16, Theorem 1.15]) to deduce that  $\xi$  must be constant. This will lead to a contradiction.

Denote

$$w_n^\alpha := \underbrace{\alpha\beta\alpha\cdots}_{n \text{ letters}} \quad \text{and} \quad w_n^\beta := \underbrace{\beta\alpha\beta\cdots}_{n \text{ letters}}.$$

Note that all elements of  $I$  are either of the form

$$w_{2n+1}^\alpha x \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x \in \{\epsilon\} \cup \alpha I$$

or of the form

$$w_{2n}^\alpha x \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x \in \{\epsilon\} \cup \beta I.$$

By Lemma 2.3 and formula (3), we get that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \{\epsilon\} \cup \alpha I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(w_{2n+1}^\alpha x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} \frac{1}{[2(n+1)]_q \dim_q(x)^2} \dim_q\left(\frac{w_k^\alpha}{w_{2n+1-k}^\beta} \frac{[w]^k}{[w]^k}\right) \nu_\epsilon(\bar{x}\beta\alpha\beta\cdots) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} \frac{1}{[2(n+1)]_q \dim_q(x)^2} q^{2(n-k)+1} \nu_\epsilon(\bar{x}\beta\alpha\beta\cdots) = \frac{\nu_\epsilon(\bar{x}\beta\alpha\beta\cdots)}{\dim_q(x)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nu_\epsilon$  is a probability measure, it follows that  $x \mapsto \xi(w_{2n+1}^\alpha x)$  is independent of  $n$  and summable over the set  $\{\epsilon\} \cup \alpha I$ . Analogously, it follows that  $x \mapsto \xi(w_{2n}^\alpha x)$  is independent of  $n$  and summable over the set  $\{\epsilon\} \cup \beta I$ . As a result,  $\xi$  attains its maximum on  $I$ . By the maximum principle,  $\xi$  is constant. Since  $\xi(\epsilon) \neq 0$ , this constant is non-zero and we arrive at a contradiction with the summability of  $x \mapsto \xi(w_{2n+1}^\alpha x)$  over the infinite set  $\{\epsilon\} \cup \alpha I$ .  $\square$

### 3 Topological boundary and boundary action for the dual of $A_u(F)$

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we construct a compactification for  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ , i.e. a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  lying between  $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  and  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ . This  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is a non-commutative version of  $C(I \cup \partial I)$ . The construction of  $\mathcal{B}$  follows word by word the analogous construction given in [11, Section 3] for  $\mathbb{G} = A_o(F)$ . So, we only indicate the necessary modifications.

For all  $x, y \in I$  and  $z \subset x \otimes y$ , we choose an isometry  $V(x \otimes y, z) \in \text{Mor}(x \otimes y, z)$ . Since  $z$  appears with multiplicity one in  $x \otimes y$ , the isometry  $V(x \otimes y, z)$  is uniquely determined up to multiplication by a scalar  $\lambda \in S^1$ . Therefore, the following unital completely positive maps are uniquely defined (cf. [11, Definition 3.1]).

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $x, y \in I$ . We define unital completely positive maps

$$\psi_{xy,x} : \mathcal{L}(H_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_{xy}) : \psi_{xy,x}(A) = V(x \otimes y, xy)^*(A \otimes 1)V(x \otimes y, xy).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** The maps  $\psi_{xy,x}$  form an inductive system of completely positive maps. Defining

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} = \{a \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \|ap_{xy} - \psi_{xy,x}(ap_x)\| < \varepsilon \\ \text{for all } x, y \in I \text{ with } |x| \geq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

we get that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  containing  $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ .

- The restriction of the comultiplication  $\hat{\Delta}$  yields a left action  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  :

$$\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow M(c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) : a \mapsto \hat{\Delta}(a).$$

- The restriction of the adjoint action of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  yields a right action of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  :

$$\beta_{\mathbb{G}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) : a \mapsto V(a \otimes 1)V^* .$$

Here,  $V \in \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  is defined as  $V = \sum_{x \in I} U^x$ . The action  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  is continuous in the sense that  $\text{span } \beta_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{B})(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{B} \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ .

*Proof.* One can repeat word by word the proofs of [11, Propositions 3.4 and 3.6]. The crucial ingredients of these proofs are the approximate commutation formulae provided by [11, Lemmas A.1 and A.2] and they have to be replaced by the inequalities provided by Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

We denote  $\mathcal{B}_\infty := \mathcal{B}/c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  and call it the topological boundary of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ . Both actions  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  and  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  preserve the ideal  $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  and hence yield actions on  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$  that we still denote by  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  and  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ .

We identify  $C(I \cup \partial I)$  with  $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})) = \mathcal{B} \cap \ell^\infty(I)$ . Similarly,  $C(\partial I) \subset \mathcal{B}_\infty$ .

We partially order  $I$  by writing  $x \leq y$  if  $y = xz$  for some  $z \in I$ . Define

$$\psi_{\infty,x} : \mathcal{L}(H_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} : \psi_{\infty,x}(A)p_y = \begin{cases} \psi_{y,x}(A) & \text{if } y \geq x \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} .$$

We use the same notation for the composition of  $\psi_{\infty,x}$  with the quotient map  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\infty$ , yielding the map  $\psi_{\infty,x} : \mathcal{L}(H_x) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\infty$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *The inclusion  $C(\partial I) \subset \mathcal{B}_\infty$  defines a continuous field of unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Denote, for every  $x \in \partial I$ , by  $J_x$  the closed two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$  generated by the functions in  $C(\partial I)$  vanishing in  $x$ .*

For every  $x = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots$  in  $\partial_0 I$ , there exists a unique surjective  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi_x : \mathcal{B}_\infty \rightarrow \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(H_{x_k})$$

satisfying  $\text{Ker } \pi_x = J_x$  and  $\pi_x(\psi_{\infty,x_1 \dots x_n}(A)) = A \otimes 1$  for all  $A \in \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \mathcal{L}(H_{x_k}) = \mathcal{L}(H_{x_1 \dots x_n})$ .

*Proof.* Given  $x \in \partial I$ , define the decreasing sequence of projections  $e_n \in \mathcal{B}$  given by

$$e_n := \sum_{y \in I} p_{[x]_n y} .$$

Denote by  $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\infty$  the quotient map. It follows that

$$\|\pi(a) + J_x\| = \lim_n \|ae_n\| \tag{8}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ .

To prove that  $C(\partial I) \subset \mathcal{B}_\infty$  is a continuous field, let  $y \in I$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_y)$  and define  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  by  $a := \psi_{\infty,y}(A)$ . Put  $f : \partial I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ : f(x) = \|\pi(a) + J_x\|$ . We have to prove that  $f$  is a continuous function. Define  $\mathcal{U} \subset \partial I$  consisting of infinite words starting with  $y$ . Then,  $\mathcal{U}$  is open and closed and  $f$  is zero, in particular continuous, on the complement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Assume that the last letter of  $y$  is  $\alpha$  (the other case, of course, being analogous). If  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $x \neq y\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\dots$ , write  $x = yz \otimes u$  for some  $z \in I$ ,  $u \in \partial I$ . Define  $\mathcal{V}$  as the neighborhood of  $x$  consisting of infinite words of the form

$yzu'$  where  $u' \in \partial I$  and  $yzu' = yz \otimes u'$ . Then,  $f$  is constantly equal to  $\|\psi_{yz,y}(A)\|$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ . It remains to prove that  $f$  is continuous in  $x := y\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\cdots$ . Let

$$w_n = \underbrace{\beta\alpha\beta\cdots}_{n \text{ letters}}.$$

Then, the sequence  $\|\psi_{yw_n,y}(A)\|$  is decreasing and converges to  $f(x)$ . If  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is the neighborhood of  $x$  consisting of words starting with  $yw_n$ , it follows that

$$f(x) \leq f(u) \leq \|\psi_{yw_n,y}(A)\|$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . This proves the continuity of  $f$  in  $x$ . So,  $C(\partial I) \subset \mathcal{B}_\infty$  is a continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let now  $x = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots$  be an element of  $\partial_0 I$ . Put  $y_n = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n$  and

$$f_n := \sum_{z \in I} p_{y_n z}.$$

The map  $A \mapsto f_{n+1}\psi_{\infty,y_n}(A)$  defines a unital  $*$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{L}(H_{y_n})$  to  $f_{n+1}\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $1 - f_{n+1} \in J_x$ , we obtain the unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\theta_n : \mathcal{L}(H_{y_n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/J_x$ . The  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\theta_n$  are compatible and combine into the unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\theta : \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(H_{x_k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/J_x.$$

By (8),  $\theta$  is isometric. Since the union of all  $\psi_{\infty,y_n}(\mathcal{L}(H_{y_n})) + J_x$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is dense in  $\mathcal{B}$ , it follows that  $\theta$  is surjective. The composition of the quotient map  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/J_x$  and the inverse of  $\theta$  provides the required  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_x$ .  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We prove Theorem 1.3 by performing the following steps.

- Construct on the boundary  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ , a faithful KMS state  $\omega_\infty$ , to be considered as the harmonic state and satisfying  $(\psi_\mu \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \omega_\infty$ . Extend  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  to an action

$$\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} : (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)'' \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$$

and denote by  $\Theta_\mu := (\text{id} \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  the *Poisson integral*.

- Prove a quantum *Dirichlet property* : for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have  $\Theta_\mu(a) - a \in c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ . It will follow that  $\Theta_\mu$  is a normal and faithful  $*$ -homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$  onto a von Neumann subalgebra of  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .
- By Theorem 2.1,  $\Theta_\mu$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $L^\infty(\partial I, \nu_\epsilon) \subset (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$  onto  $H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ . Deduce that the image of  $\Theta_\mu$  is the whole of  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .
- Use Lemma 3.3 to identify  $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$  with a field of ITPFI factors.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The sequence  $\psi_{\mu^{*n}}$  of states on  $\mathcal{B}$  converges weakly\* to a KMS state  $\omega_\infty$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . The state  $\omega_\infty$  vanishes on  $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ . We still denote by  $\omega_\infty$  the resulting KMS state on  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ . Then,  $\omega_\infty$  is faithful on  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ .*

We have  $(\psi_\mu \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \omega_\infty$ , so that we can uniquely extend  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  to an action

$$\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} : (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)'' \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$$

that we still denote by  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ .

The state  $\omega_\infty$  is invariant under the action  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ . We extend  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  to an action on  $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$  that we still denote by  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$ .

The normal, completely positive map

$$\Theta_\mu : (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)'' \rightarrow H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) : \Theta_\mu = (\text{id} \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \quad (9)$$

is called the Poisson integral. It satisfies the following properties (recall that  $\alpha_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  and  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$  were introduced in Definition 1.2).

- $\widehat{\epsilon} \circ \Theta_\mu = \omega_\infty$ .
- $(\Theta_\mu \otimes \text{id}) \circ \beta_{\mathbb{G}} = \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \Theta_\mu$ .
- $(\text{id} \otimes \Theta_\mu) \circ \beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \alpha_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \circ \Theta_\mu$ .

For every  $x = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots$  in  $\partial_0 I$ , denote by  $\omega_x$  the infinite tensor product state on  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{L}(H_{x_k})$ , of the states  $\psi_{x_k}$  on  $\mathcal{L}(H_{x_k})$ . Using the notation  $\pi_x$  of Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\omega_\infty(a) = \int_{\partial_0 I} \omega_x(\pi_x(a)) \, d\nu_\epsilon(x) \quad (10)$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ .

*Proof.* Define the 1-parameter group of automorphisms  $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of  $\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  given by

$$\sigma_t(a)p_x = Q_x^{it} a p_x Q_x^{-it}.$$

Since  $\sigma_t(\psi_{\infty,x}(A)) = \psi_{\infty,x}(Q_x^{it} A Q_x^{-it})$ , it follows that  $(\sigma_t)$  is norm-continuous on the C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ .

By Theorem 2.1, the sequence of probability measures  $\mu^{*n}$  on  $I \cup \partial I$  converges weakly\* to  $\nu_\epsilon$ . It follows that  $\psi_{\mu^{*n}}(a) \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $a \in c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ . Given  $x \in I$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_x)$ , put  $a := \psi_{\infty,x}(A)$ . Denote by  $\partial(xI)$  the set of infinite words starting with  $x$  and by  $\partial_0(xI)$  its intersection with  $\partial_0(I)$ . We get, using Proposition 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\mu^{*n}}(a) &= \sum_{y \in I} \mu^{*n}(y) \psi_y(\psi_{\infty,x}(A)) = \sum_{y \in xI} \mu^{*n}(y) \psi_x(A) \\ &\rightarrow \psi_x(A) \nu_\epsilon(\partial(xI)) = \psi_x(A) \nu_\epsilon(\partial_0(xI)) = \int_{\partial_0 I} \omega_y(\pi_y(a)) \, d\nu_\epsilon(y). \end{aligned}$$

So, the sequence  $\psi_{\mu^{*n}}$  of states on  $\mathcal{B}$  converges weakly\* to a state on  $\mathcal{B}$  that we denote by  $\omega_\infty$  and that satisfies (10). Since all  $\psi_{\mu^{*n}}$  satisfy the KMS condition w.r.t.  $(\sigma_t)$ , also  $\omega_\infty$  is a KMS state. If  $a \in \mathcal{B}_\infty^+$  and  $\omega_\infty(a) = 0$ , it follows from (10) that  $\omega_x(\pi_x(a)) = 0$  for  $\nu_\epsilon$ -almost every  $x \in \partial_0 I$ . Since

$\omega_x$  is faithful, it follows that  $\|\pi(a) + J_x\| = 0$  for  $\nu_\epsilon$ -almost every  $x \in \partial I$ . By Proposition 2.4, the support of  $\nu_\epsilon$  is the whole of  $\partial I$  and by Lemma 3.3,  $x \mapsto \|\pi(a) + J_x\|$  is a continuous function. It follows that  $\|\pi(a) + J_x\| = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial I$  and hence,  $a = 0$ . So,  $\omega_\infty$  is faithful.

Since  $(\psi_\mu \otimes \psi_{\mu^{*n}})\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \psi_{\mu^{*(n+1)}}$ , it follows that  $(\psi_\mu \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \omega_\infty$ . So,  $(\psi_{\mu^{*k}} \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \omega_\infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mu$  is generating, there exists for every  $x \in I$ , a  $C_x > 0$  such that  $(\psi_x \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \leq C_x \omega_\infty$ . As a result, we can uniquely extend  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  to a normal  $*$ -homomorphism

$$(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)'' \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}) \overline{\otimes} (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''.$$

Since  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  is an action, the same holds for the extension to the von Neumann algebra  $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$ .

Because  $(\psi_\mu \otimes \omega_\infty)\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} = \beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  and because  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  is an action, the Poisson integral defined by (9) takes values in  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\Theta_\mu$  intertwines  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  with  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$  and  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  with  $\alpha_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *The compactification  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  satisfies the quantum Dirichlet property, meaning that, for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ ,*

$$\|(\Theta_\mu(a) - a)p_x\| \rightarrow 0$$

if  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

In particular, the Poisson integral  $\Theta_\mu$  is a normal and faithful  $*$ -homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$  onto a von Neumann subalgebra of  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ .

We will deduce Theorem 4.2 from the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *For every  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  we have that*

$$\sup_{y \in I} \|(\text{id} \otimes \psi_y)\hat{\Delta}(a)p_x - ap_x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (11)$$

when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $a \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\|a\| \leq 1$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Take  $n$  such that  $\|ap_{x_0 x_1} - \psi_{x_0 x_1, x_0}(ap_{x_0})\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x_0, x_1 \in I$  with  $|x_0| = n$ .

Denote  $d_{S^1}(V, W) = \inf\{\|V - \lambda W\| \mid \lambda \in S^1\}$ . By (16) below, take  $k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{S^1}((V(x_0 \otimes x_1 x_2, x_0 x_1 x_2) \otimes 1)V(x_0 x_1 x_2 \otimes \overline{x_2}u, x_0 x_1 u), \\ (1 \otimes V(x_1 x_2 \otimes \overline{x_2}u, x_1 u))V(x_0 \otimes x_1 u, x_0 x_1 u)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

whenever  $|x_1| \geq k$ .

Finally, take  $l$  such that  $q^{2l} < \varepsilon$ . We prove that

$$\|(\text{id} \otimes \psi_y)\hat{\Delta}(a)p_x - ap_x\| < 5\varepsilon \quad (13)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  with  $|x| \geq n + k + l$ .

Choose  $x, y \in I$  with  $|x| \geq n + k + l$  and write  $x = x_0 x_1 x_2$  with  $|x_0| = n$ ,  $|x_1| = k$  and hence,  $|x_2| \geq l$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{id} \otimes \psi_y) \hat{\Delta}(a) p_x &= \sum_{z \subset x \otimes y} (\text{id} \otimes \psi_y) (V(x \otimes y, z) a p_z V(x \otimes y, z)^*) \\
&= \sum_{z \subset x \otimes y} \frac{\dim_q(z)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q(y)} V(z \otimes \bar{y}, x)^* (a p_z \otimes 1) V(z \otimes \bar{y}, x) \\
&= \sum_{z \subset x_2 \otimes y} \frac{\dim_q(x_0 x_1 z)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q(y)} V(x_0 x_1 z \otimes \bar{y}, x)^* (a p_{x_0 x_1 z} \otimes 1) V(x_0 x_1 z \otimes \bar{y}, x) \\
&\quad + \sum \text{remaining terms} .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to have remaining terms,  $y$  should be of the form  $y = \bar{x}_2 y_0$  and then, using (4) and the assumption  $\|a\| \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \|\text{remaining terms}\| &= \sum_{z \subset x_0 x_1 \otimes y_0} \frac{\dim_q(z)}{\dim_q(x_0 x_1 x_2) \dim_q(\bar{x}_2 y_0)} \\
&\leq \sum_{z \subset x_0 x_1 \otimes y_0} q^{2|x_2|} \frac{\dim_q(z)}{\dim_q(x_0 x_1) \dim_q(y_0)} = q^{2|x_2|} < \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with the fact that  $\|a p_{x_0 x_1 z} - \psi_{x_0 x_1 z, x_0}(a p_{x_0})\| < \varepsilon$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\text{id} \otimes \psi_y) \hat{\Delta}(a) p_x - a p_x\| \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon + \left\| a p_x - \sum_{z \subset x_2 \otimes y} \frac{\dim_q(x_0 x_1 z)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q(y)} V(x_0 x_1 z \otimes \bar{y}, x)^* (\psi_{x_0 x_1 z, x_0}(a p_{x_0}) \otimes 1) V(x_0 x_1 z \otimes \bar{y}, x) \right\| .
\end{aligned}$$

But now, (12) implies that

$$\|(\text{id} \otimes \psi_y) \hat{\Delta}(a) p_x - a p_x\| \leq 3\varepsilon + \left\| a p_x - \sum_{z \subset x_2 \otimes y} \frac{\dim_q(x_0 x_1 z)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q(y)} \psi_{x, x_0}(a p_{x_0}) \right\| .$$

Since  $\|\psi_{x, x_0}(a p_{x_0}) - a p_x\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|a\| \leq 1$ , we get

$$\|(\text{id} \otimes \psi_y) \hat{\Delta}(a) p_x\| \leq 4\varepsilon + \left(1 - \sum_{z \subset x_2 \otimes y} \frac{\dim_q(x_0 x_1 z)}{\dim_q(x) \dim_q(y)}\right) .$$

The second term on the right hand side is zero, unless  $y = \bar{x}_2 y_0$ , in which case, it equals

$$\sum_{z \subset x_0 x_1 \otimes y_0} \frac{\dim_q(z)}{\dim_q(x_0 x_1 x_2) \dim_q(\bar{x}_2 y_0)} \leq \sum_{z \subset x_0 x_1 \otimes y_0} q^{2|x_2|} \frac{\dim_q(z)}{\dim_q(x_0 x_1) \dim_q(y_0)} \leq \varepsilon$$

because of (4). Finally, (13) follows and the lemma is proven.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{B}$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , Lemma 4.3 provides  $k$  such that

$$\|(\text{id} \otimes \psi_{\mu^{*n}}) \hat{\Delta}(a) p_x - a p_x\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x$  with  $|x| \geq k$ . Since  $\psi_{\mu^{*n}} \rightarrow \omega_\infty$  weakly\*, it follows that

$$\|(\Theta_\mu(a) - a) p_x\| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever  $|x| \geq k$ . This proves (11).

It remains to prove the multiplicativity of  $\Theta_\mu$ . We know that  $\Theta_\mu : \mathcal{B}_\infty \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  is a unital, completely positive map. Since  $\widehat{\epsilon} \circ \Theta_\mu = \omega_\infty$ ,  $\Theta_\mu$  is faithful. Denote by  $\pi : \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \rightarrow \frac{\ell^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})}{c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})}$  the quotient map, which is also a unital, completely positive map. By (11), we have  $\pi \circ \Theta_\mu = \mathrm{id}$ . So, for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ , we find

$$\pi(\Theta_\mu(a)^* \cdot \Theta_\mu(a)) \leq \pi(\Theta_\mu(a^* a)) = a^* a = \pi(\Theta_\mu(a))^* \pi(\Theta_\mu(a)) \leq \pi(\Theta_\mu(a)^* \cdot \Theta_\mu(a))$$

We claim that  $\pi$  is faithful. If  $a \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^+ \cap c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ , we have  $\widehat{\epsilon}(a) = \psi_{\mu^{*n}}(a)$  for all  $n$  and the transience of  $\mu$  combined with the assumption  $a \in c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$ , implies that  $\widehat{\epsilon}(a) = 0$  and hence,  $a = 0$ . So, we conclude that  $\Theta_\mu(a)^* \cdot \Theta_\mu(a) = \Theta_\mu(a^* a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$ . Hence,  $\Theta_\mu$  is multiplicative on  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$  and also on  $(\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$  by normality.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** We now give a reinterpretation of Theorem 2.1. Denote by  $\Omega = I^{\mathbb{N}}$  the path space of the random walk with transition probabilities (5). Elements of  $\Omega$  are denoted by  $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ , etc. For every  $x \in I$ , one defines the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_x$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_x(\{x\} \times I \times I \times \dots) = 1$  and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\{(x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\} \times I \times I \times \dots) = p(x, x_1) p(x_1, x_2) \dots p(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Choose a probability measure  $\eta$  on  $I$  with  $I = \mathrm{supp} \eta$ . Write  $\mathbb{P} = \sum_{x \in I} \eta(x) \mathbb{P}_x$ .

Define on  $\Omega$  the following equivalence relation:  $\underline{x} \sim \underline{y}$  iff there exist  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n+k} = y_{n+l}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Whenever  $F \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ , the martingale convergence theorem implies that the sequence of measurable functions  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \underline{x} \mapsto F(x_n)$  converges  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost everywhere to a  $\sim$ -invariant bounded measurable function on  $\Omega$ , that we denote by  $\pi_\infty(F)$ . Denote by  $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega/\sim, \mathbb{P})$  the von Neumann subalgebra of  $\sim$ -invariant functions in  $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ . As such,  $\pi_\infty : \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega/\sim, \mathbb{P})$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism.

By Theorem 4.2, we can define the measurable function  $\mathrm{bnd} : \Omega \rightarrow \partial I$  such that  $\mathrm{bnd} \underline{x} = \lim_n x_n$  for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every  $\underline{x} \in \Omega$  and where the convergence is understood in the compact space  $I \cup \partial I$ . Recall that we denote, for  $x \in I$ , by  $\nu_x$  the hitting probability measure on  $\partial I$ . So,  $\nu_x(A) = \mathbb{P}_x(\mathrm{bnd}^{-1}(A))$  for all measurable  $A \subset \partial I$  and all  $x \in I$ .

Again by Theorem 4.2,  $\pi_\infty \circ \Upsilon$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\partial I, \nu_\epsilon)$  onto  $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega/\sim, \mathbb{P})$ . We claim that for all  $F \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\partial I, \nu_\epsilon)$ , we have

$$((\pi_\infty \circ \Upsilon)(F))(\underline{x}) = F(\mathrm{bnd} \underline{x}) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}\text{-almost every } \underline{x} \in \Omega.$$

Let  $A \subset \partial I$  be measurable. Define  $F_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F_n(\underline{x}) = \nu_{x_n}(A)$ . Then,  $F_n$  converges almost everywhere with limit equal to  $(\pi_\infty \circ \Upsilon)(\chi_A)$ . If the measurable function  $G : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  only depends on  $x_0, \dots, x_k$ , one checks that

$$\int_{\Omega} F_n(\underline{x}) G(\underline{x}) d\mathbb{P}(\underline{x}) = \int_{\mathrm{bnd}^{-1}(A)} G(\underline{x}) d\mathbb{P}(\underline{x}) \quad \text{for all } n > k.$$

From this, the claim follows.

Since the  $*$ -isomorphism  $\pi_\infty \circ \Upsilon$  is given by  $\mathrm{bnd}$ , it follows that for every  $\sim$ -invariant bounded measurable function  $F$  on  $\Omega$ , there exists a bounded measurable function  $F_1$  on  $\partial I$  such that  $F(\underline{x}) = F_1(\mathrm{bnd} \underline{x})$  for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x} \in \Omega$ .

We are now ready to prove the main Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Because of Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.3, it remains to show that

$$\Theta_\mu : (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)'' \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$$

is surjective.

Whenever  $\gamma : N \rightarrow N \overline{\otimes} \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  is an action of  $\mathbb{G}$  on the von Neumann algebra  $N$ , we denote, for  $x \in I$ , by  $N^x \subset N$  the *spectral subspace* of the irreducible representation  $x$ . By definition,  $N^x$  is the linear span of all  $S(H_x)$ , where  $S$  ranges over the linear maps  $S : H_x \rightarrow N$  satisfying  $\gamma(S(\xi)) = (S \otimes \mathrm{id})(U_x(\xi \otimes 1))$ . The linear span of all  $N^x$ ,  $x \in I$ , is a weakly dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $N$ , called the spectral subalgebra of  $N$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $N^n$  the linear span of all  $N^x$ ,  $|x| \leq n$ .

Fixing  $x, y \in I$ , consider the adjoint action  $\gamma : \mathcal{L}(H_{xy}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_{xy}) \otimes \mathrm{C}(\mathbb{G})$  given by  $\gamma(A) = U_{xy}(A \otimes 1)U_{xy}^*$ . The fusion rules of  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$  imply that  $\mathcal{L}(H_{xy})^{2|x|} = \psi_{xy,x}(\mathcal{L}(H_x))$ .

For the rest of the proof, put  $M := (\mathcal{B}_\infty, \omega_\infty)''$ . We use the action  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $M$  and the action  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ . Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It suffices to prove that  $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^k \subset \Theta_\mu(M)$ .

Define, for all  $y \in I$ , the subset

$$V_y := \{yz \mid z \in I \text{ and } yz = y \otimes z\}.$$

Define the projections

$$q_y = \sum_{z \in V_y} p_z \in \mathcal{B}$$

and consider  $q_y$  also as an element of the von Neumann algebra  $M$ . Define  $W_y \subset \partial I$  as the subset of infinite words of the form  $yu$ , where  $u \in \partial I$  and  $yu = y \otimes u$ .

Define the unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\zeta : \mathrm{C}(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y) \rightarrow Mq_y : \begin{cases} \zeta(F)p_{yz} = \psi_{yz,y}(F(yz)) & \text{when } y \otimes z = yz \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

**Claim.** For all  $y \in I$ , there exists a linear map

$$T_y : \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^{2|y|} \cdot \Theta_\mu(q_y) \subset \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^\infty(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$$

satisfying the following conditions.

- $T_y$  is isometric for the 2-norm on  $\mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  given by the state  $\widehat{\epsilon}$  and the 2-norm on  $\mathrm{L}^\infty(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)^k$  given by the state  $\nu_\varepsilon \otimes \psi_y$ .
- $(T_y \circ \Theta_\mu \circ \zeta)(F) = F$  for all  $F \in \mathrm{C}(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$ .

To prove this claim, we use the notations and results introduced in Remark 4.4. Fix  $y \in I$ . Consider  $a \in \mathrm{H}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^{2|y|} \cdot \Theta_\mu(q_y)$ . If  $\underline{x} \in \Omega$  is such that  $\mathrm{bnd}(\underline{x}) \in W_y$ , then, for  $n$  big enough,  $x_n$  will be of the form  $x_n = y \otimes z_n$ . By definition of  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$ , we have that  $ap_{x_n} \in \mathcal{L}(H_{x_n})^{2|y|}$ . So, we can take elements  $a_{\underline{x},n} \in \mathcal{L}(H_y)$  such that  $ap_{x_n} = \psi_{x_n,y}(a_{\underline{x},n})$ . We prove that, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\mathrm{bnd} \underline{x} \in W_y$ , the sequence  $(a_{\underline{x},n})_n$  is convergent. We then define  $T_y(a) \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$  such that  $T_y(a)(\mathrm{bnd} \underline{x}) = \lim_n a_{\underline{x},n}$  for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\mathrm{bnd} \underline{x} \in W_y$ .

Take  $d \in \mathcal{L}(H_y)$ . Then, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  such that  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$  and  $n$  big enough, we get that

$$\psi_y(da_{\underline{x},n}) = \psi_{x_n}(\psi_{x_n,y}(da_{\underline{x},n})) = \psi_{x_n}(\psi_{x_n,y}(d)\psi_{x_n,y}(a_{\underline{x},n})) = \psi_{x_n}(\psi_{x_n,y}(d)ap_{x_n})$$

In the second step, we used the multiplicativity of  $\psi_{x_n,y} : \mathcal{L}(H_y) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_{x_n})$  which follows because  $x_n = y \otimes z_n$ . Also note that  $\|a_{\underline{x},n}\| \leq \|a\|$ . From Theorem 4.2, it follows that

$$\|\Theta_\mu(\zeta(1 \otimes d))p_{x_n} - \psi_{x_n,y}(d)p_{x_n}\| \rightarrow 0$$

whenever  $x_n$  converges to a point in  $W_y$ . This implies that

$$|\psi_y(da_{\underline{x},n}) - \psi_{x_n}(\Theta_\mu(\zeta(1 \otimes d))ap_{x_n})| \rightarrow 0$$

for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ .

From [6, Proposition 3.3], we know that for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$ ,

$$|\psi_{x_n}(\Theta_\mu(\zeta(1 \otimes d))ap_{x_n})p_{x_n} - \mathcal{E}(\Theta_\mu(\zeta(1 \otimes d)) \cdot a)p_{x_n}| \rightarrow 0.$$

As before,  $\mathcal{E}(b)p_x = \psi_x(b)p_x$ . It follows that

$$|\psi_y(da_{\underline{x},n})p_{x_n} - \mathcal{E}(\Theta_\mu(\zeta(1 \otimes d)) \cdot a)p_{x_n}| \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{E}$  maps  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$  onto  $H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ . Whenever  $F \in H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ , the sequence  $F(x_n)$  converges for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$ . We conclude that for every  $d \in \mathcal{L}(H_y)$ , the sequence  $\psi_y(da_{\underline{x},n})$  is convergent for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}(H_y)$  is finite dimensional, it follows that the sequence  $(a_{\underline{x},n})_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}(H_y)$  is convergent for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ .

By Remark 4.4, we get  $T_y(a) \in L^\infty(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$  such that  $T_y(a)(\text{bnd } \underline{x}) = \lim_n a_{\underline{x},n}$  for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ . From the definition of  $a_{\underline{x},n}$ , we get that

$$\|\psi_{x_n,y}(T_y(a)(\text{bnd } \underline{x})) - ap_{x_n}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (14)$$

for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  such that  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ .

The map  $T_y$  is isometric. Indeed, by the defining property (14) and again by [6, Proposition 3.3], we have, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ ,

$$\psi_y(T_y(a)(\text{bnd } \underline{x})^*T_y(a)(\text{bnd } \underline{x})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{x_n}(a^*ap_{x_n}) = (\pi_\infty \circ \mathcal{E})(a^* \cdot a)(\underline{x}).$$

Here,  $\pi_\infty$  denotes the  $*$ -isomorphism  $H_{\text{centr}}^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega_{\sim}, \mathbb{P})$  introduced in Remark 4.4. On the other hand, by Remark 4.4,  $((\pi_\infty \circ \Theta_\mu)(q_y))(\underline{x}) = 0$  for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \notin W_y$ . Since

$$\int_{\Omega} ((\pi_\infty \circ \mathcal{E})(b))(\underline{x}) d\mathbb{P}_\epsilon(\underline{x}) = \widehat{\epsilon}(b)$$

for all  $b \in H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)$ , it follows that  $T_y$  is an isometry in 2-norm.

We next prove that  $(T_y \circ \Theta_\mu \circ \zeta)(F) = F$  for all  $F \in C(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$ . Let  $\tilde{a} \in C(I \cup \partial I) \subset \ell^\infty(I)$  and let  $a$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{a}$  to  $\partial I$ . Take  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H_y)$ . It suffices to take  $F = a \otimes A$ . Theorem 4.2 implies that

$$\|\tilde{a}p_{x_n}\psi_{x_n,y}(A) - (\Theta_\mu \circ \zeta)(a \otimes A)p_{x_n}\| \rightarrow 0$$

for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$ . On the other hand, for  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost every path  $\underline{x}$  with  $\text{bnd } \underline{x} \in W_y$ , the scalar  $\tilde{a}p_{x_n}$  converges to  $a(\text{bnd } \underline{x})$ . In combination with (14), it follows that  $(T_y \circ \Theta_\mu \circ \zeta)(a \otimes A) = a \otimes A$ , concluding the proof of the claim.

Having proven the claim, we now show that for all  $y \in I$ ,  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^{2|y|} \cdot \Theta_\mu(q_y) \subset \Theta_\mu(M)$ . Take  $a \in H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^{2|y|} \cdot \Theta_\mu(q_y)$ . Let  $d_n$  be a bounded sequence in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(W_y) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_y)$  converging to  $T_y(a)$  in 2-norm. Since  $T_y \circ \Theta_\mu$  is an isometry in 2-norm, it follows that  $\zeta(d_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $M$  that converges in 2-norm. Denoting by  $c \in M$  the limit of  $\zeta(d_n)$ , we conclude that  $T_y(\Theta_\mu(c)) = T_y(a)$  and hence,  $\Theta_\mu(c) = a$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A fortiori,  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^k \cdot \Theta_\mu(q_y) \subset \Theta_\mu(M)$  for all  $y \in I$  with  $2|y| \geq k$ . By Proposition 2.4, the harmonic measure  $\nu_\epsilon$  has no atoms in infinite words ending with  $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\dots$ . As a result, 1 is the smallest projection in  $M$  that dominates all  $q_y$ ,  $y \in I$ ,  $2|y| \geq k$ . So,  $H^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}, \mu)^k \subset \Theta_\mu(M)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This finally implies that  $\Theta_\mu$  is surjective.  $\square$

## 5 Solidity and the Akemann-Ostrand property

In Section 3, we followed the approach of [11] to construct the compactification  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ . In fact, more of the constructions and results of [11] carry over immediately to the case  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$ . We continue to assume that  $F$  is not a multiple of a  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix.

Denote by  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$  the GNS Hilbert space defined by the Haar state  $h$  on  $C(\mathbb{G})$ . Denote by  $\lambda : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$  the corresponding GNS representation and define  $C_{\text{red}}(\mathbb{G}) := \lambda(C(\mathbb{G}))$ . We can view  $\lambda$  as the left-regular representation. We also have a right-regular representation  $\rho$  and the operators  $\lambda(a)$  and  $\rho(b)$  commute for all  $a, b \in C(\mathbb{G})$  (see [11, Formulae (1.3)]).

Repeating the proofs of [11, Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 4.5], we arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The boundary action  $\beta_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  defined in Theorem 3.2 is*

- amenable in the sense of [11, Definition 4.1];
- small at infinity in the following sense: the comultiplication  $\hat{\Delta}$  restricts as well to a right action of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ ; this action leaves  $c_0(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})$  globally invariant and becomes the trivial action on the quotient  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ .

By construction,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra and hence, as in [11, Corollary 4.7], we get that

- $\mathbb{G}$  satisfies the Akemann-Ostrand property: the homomorphism

$$C_{\text{red}}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\text{alg}} C_{\text{red}}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))}{\mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))} : a \otimes b \mapsto \lambda(a)\rho(b) + \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{G}))$$

is continuous for the minimal  $C^*$ -tensor product  $\otimes_{\text{min}}$ .

- $C_{\text{red}}(\mathbb{G})$  is an exact  $C^*$ -algebra.

As before, we denote by  $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  the von Neumann algebra acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$  generated by  $\lambda(C(\mathbb{G}))$ . From [2, Théorème 3], it follows that  $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  is a factor, of type  $\text{II}_1$  if  $F$  is a multiple of an  $n \times n$  unitary matrix and of type  $\text{III}$  in the other cases.

Applying [8, Theorem 6] (in fact, its slight generalization provided by [11, Theorem 2.5]), we get the following corollary of Theorem 5.1. Recall that a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  is called *solid* if for every diffuse von Neumann subalgebra  $A \subset M$ , the relative commutant  $M \cap A'$  is injective. An arbitrary von Neumann algebra  $M$  is called *generalized solid* if the same holds for every diffuse von Neumann subalgebra  $A \subset M$  which is the image of a faithful normal conditional expectation.

**Corollary 5.2.** *When  $n \geq 3$  and  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(I_n)$ , the  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  is solid. When  $n \geq 2$ ,  $F \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$  is not a multiple of an  $n \times n$  unitary matrix and  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$ , the type  $\text{III}$  factor  $L^\infty(\mathbb{G})$  is generalized solid.*

## 6 Appendix: approximate intertwining relations

We fix an invertible matrix  $F$  and assume that  $F$  is not a scalar multiple of a unitary  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Define  $\mathbb{G} = A_u(F)$  and label the irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{G}$  by the monoid  $\mathbb{N} * \mathbb{N}$ , freely generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The representation labeled by  $\alpha$  is the fundamental representation of  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\beta$  is its contragredient. Define  $0 < q < 1$  such that  $\dim_q(\alpha) = \dim_q(\beta) = q + \frac{1}{q}$ . Recall from Section 3 that whenever  $z \subset x \otimes y$ , we choose an isometry  $V(x \otimes y, z) \in \text{Mor}(x \otimes y, z)$ . Observe that  $V(x \otimes y, z)$  is uniquely determined up to multiplication by a scalar  $\lambda \in S^1$ . We denote by  $p_z^{x \otimes y}$  the projection  $V(x \otimes y, z)V(x \otimes y, z)^*$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  that only depends on  $q$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (V(xr \otimes \bar{r}y, xy) \otimes 1_z) p_{xyz}^{xy \otimes z} - (1_{xr} \otimes p_{\bar{r}yz}^{\bar{r}y \otimes z}) (V(xr \otimes \bar{r}y, xy) \otimes 1_z) \| \leq Cq^{|y|}, \\ & \| (1_x \otimes V(yr \otimes \bar{r}z, yz)) p_{xyz}^{x \otimes yz} - (p_{xyr}^{x \otimes yr} \otimes 1_{\bar{r}z}) (1_x \otimes V(yr \otimes \bar{r}z, yz)) \| \leq Cq^{|y|} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for all  $x, y, z, r \in I$ .

One way of proving Lemma 6.1 consists in repeating step by step the proof of [11, Lemma A.1]. But, as we explain now, Lemma 6.1 can also be deduced more directly from [11, Lemma A.1].

*Sketch of proof.* Whenever  $y = y_1 \otimes y_2$  with  $y_1 \neq \epsilon \neq y_2$ , the expressions above are easily seen to be 0. Denote

$$v_n = \underbrace{\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \alpha \otimes \cdots}_{n \text{ tensor factors}} \quad \text{and} \quad w_n = \underbrace{\beta \otimes \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \cdots}_{n \text{ tensor factors}}.$$

The remaining estimates that have to be proven, reduce to estimates of norms of operators in  $\text{Mor}(v_n, v_m)$  and  $\text{Mor}(w_n, w_m)$ . Putting these spaces together in an infinite matrix, one defines the  $\text{C}^*$ -algebras

$$A := (\text{Mor}(v_n, v_m))_{n,m} \quad \text{and} \quad B := (\text{Mor}(w_n, w_m))_{n,m}$$

generated by the subspaces  $\text{Mor}(v_n, v_m)$  and  $\text{Mor}(w_n, w_m)$ , respectively. Choose unit vectors  $t \in \text{Mor}(\alpha \otimes \beta, \epsilon)$  and  $s \in \text{Mor}(\beta \otimes \alpha, \epsilon)$  such that  $(t^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s) = (q + 1/q)^{-1}$ . By [2, Lemme 5], the  $\text{C}^*$ -algebra  $A$  is generated by the elements  $1^{\otimes 2k} \otimes t \otimes 1^{\otimes l}$ ,  $1^{\otimes (2k+1)} \otimes s \otimes 1^{\otimes l}$ . A similar statement holds for  $B$ .

Denote by  $U$  the fundamental representation of the quantum group  $\text{SU}_{-q}(2)$  and let  $t_0 \in \text{Mor}(U \otimes U, \epsilon)$  be a unit vector. The proofs of [3, Theorems 5.3 and 6.2] (which heavily rely on results in [1, 2]), imply the existence of  $*$ -isomorphisms

$$\pi_A : (\text{Mor}(U^{\otimes n}, U^{\otimes m}))_{n,m} \rightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_B : (\text{Mor}(U^{\otimes n}, U^{\otimes m}))_{n,m} \rightarrow B$$

satisfying

$$\pi_A(1^{\otimes 2k} \otimes t_0 \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) = 1^{\otimes 2k} \otimes t \otimes 1^{\otimes l} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_A(1^{\otimes(2k+1)} \otimes t_0 \otimes 1^{\otimes l}) = 1^{\otimes(2k+1)} \otimes s \otimes 1^{\otimes l}$$

and similarly for  $\pi_B$ .

As a result, the estimates to be proven, follow directly from the corresponding estimates for  $SU_{-q}(2)$  proven in [11, Lemma A.1].  $\square$

Using the notation

$$d_{S^1}(V, W) = \inf\{\|V - \lambda W\| \mid \lambda \in S^1\},$$

several approximate commutation relations can be deduced from Lemma 6.1. For instance, after a possible increase of the constant  $C$ , (15) implies that

$$d_{S^1}\left(\left(1_x \otimes V(yr \otimes \bar{r}z, yz)\right)V(x \otimes yz, xyz), \left(V(x \otimes yr, xyr) \otimes 1_{\bar{r}z}\right)V(xyr \otimes \bar{r}z, xyz)\right) \leq Cq^{|y|} \quad (16)$$

for all  $x, y, z, r \in I$ . We again refer to [11, Lemma A.1] for a full list of approximate intertwining relations.

## References

- [1] T. BANICA, Théorie des représentations du groupe quantique compact libre  $O(n)$ . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **322** (1996), 241–244.
- [2] T. BANICA, Le groupe quantique compact libre  $U(n)$ . *Commun. Math. Phys.* **190** (1997), 143–172.
- [3] J. BICHON, A. DE RIJDT AND S. VAES, Ergodic coactions with large quantum multiplicity and monoidal equivalence of quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **262** (2006), 703–728.
- [4] A. DE RIJDT AND N. VANDER VENNET Actions of monoidally equivalent quantum groups and applications to probabilistic boundaries. To appear in *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*. [math/0611175](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611175)
- [5] M. IZUMI, Non-commutative Poisson boundaries and compact quantum group actions. *Adv. Math.* **169** (2002), 1–57.
- [6] M. IZUMI, S. NESHVEYEV AND L. TUSET, Poisson boundary of the dual of  $SU_q(n)$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* **262** (2006), 505–531.
- [7] S. NESHVEYEV AND L. TUSET, The Martin boundary of a discrete quantum group. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **568** (2004), 23–70.
- [8] N. OZAWA, Solid von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.* **192** (2004), 111–117.
- [9] M. PICARDELLO AND W. WOESS, Martin boundaries of random walks: ends of trees and groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **302** (1987), 185–205.
- [10] R. TOMATSU, A characterization of right coideals of quotient type and its application to classification of Poisson Boundaries. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **275** (2007), 271–296.
- [11] S. VAES AND R. VERGNIOUX, The boundary of universal discrete quantum groups, exactness, and factoriality. *Duke Math. J.* **140** (2007), 35–84.
- [12] S. VAES AND N. VANDER VENNET, Identification of the Poisson and Martin boundaries of orthogonal discrete quantum groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **7** (2008), 391–412.
- [13] A. VAN DAELE, Discrete quantum groups. *J. Algebra* **180** (1996), 431–444.
- [14] A. VAN DAELE AND S. WANG, Universal quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.* **7** (1996), 255–263.
- [15] N. VANDER VENNET, Probabilistic boundaries of discrete quantum groups. *Ph.D. thesis*, K.U.Leuven, 2008. Available at <http://hdl.handle.net/1979/1864>
- [16] W. WOESS, Random walks on infinite graphs and groups. *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics* **138**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [17] S.L. WORONOWICZ, Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665.
- [18] S.L. WORONOWICZ, Compact quantum groups. In *Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 845–884.